

Complexity of Local F-Continuous Mapping Forms in Topological Groups with Fuzzy Singleton

Rohit Kumar Verma

Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics,
 Bharti Vishwavidyalaya, Durg, Chhattisgarh, India.

Abstract

This research paper's main goal is to provide and examine specific generalized forms of continuous functions inside fuzzy topological groups. It clarifies fuzzy open homomorphisms as well.

Keywords: Fuzzy topological group, Fuzzy open homomorphism, Fuzzy continuous maps, Fuzzy Singleton etcetera.

Date Of Submission: 02-05-2026

Date of Acceptance: 12-05-2026

I. Introduction

Fuzzy sets, which deal with reasoning and systems where the concepts of membership or belonging are not binary (i.e., in or out, true or false), were initially introduced by Zadeh [10]. Fuzzy mathematics allows for several levels of membership or belonging, represented by numbers in a range of the closed interval $[0,1]$, as opposed to a rigid dichotomy: yes/no or true/false. As demonstrated by numerous writers who have examined the application of fuzzy sets in various domains, including medical research [7], safety and reliability engineering [6], and artificial intelligence [9], this improves human ability to deal with ambiguity and vagueness, which arise in many real-life circumstances. Several writers have proposed generalisations of fuzzy sets that consider the grade of non-membership under certain restrictions that preserve the total or power sum of both grades of membership and non-membership less or equal to one in order to tackle complex problems. These include intuitionistic fuzzy sets [5], Pythagorean fuzzy sets [8], $(2,1)$ -fuzzy sets [2], (m,n) -fuzzy sets [3], soft (m,n) -fuzzy sets [4], complex nth power root fuzzy sets [1], and so on.

For all $h \in H$, the constant fuzzy sets with membership values of 0 and 1 are represented by 0_H and 1_H , respectively. J^H , where $J = [0,1]$, is a collection of all fuzzy subsets in H . The negation of $K = 1_H - K$ defines the fuzzy complement of $K \in J^H$.

Definition 1.1 Let H be any group. Then, a fuzzy hausdorfff space (H, ϵ) is said to be a strong fuzzy topological group if $R: \rho(H) \times \rho(H) \rightarrow \rho(H)$ defined by $R(s_x, t_y) = s_x t_y$ for every $(s_x, t_y) \in \rho(H) \times \rho(H)$ is continuous where $s_x t_y(uv) = (\mu_{s_x t_y}(uv), 1 - \mu_{s_x t_y}(uv)) = (\min(\mu_{s_x}(u), \mu_{t_y}(v)), \max(1 - \mu_{s_x}(u), 1 - \mu_{t_y}(v)))$.

Definition 1.2 Let H be any group. Then, a fuzzy hausdorfff space (H, ϵ) is said to be a strong fuzzy topological group if $g: \rho(H) \rightarrow \rho(H)$ defined by $g(s_x) = s_x^{-1}$, for every $s_x \in \rho(H)$ is continuous.

Definition 1.3 Let $g: \rho(H) \rightarrow \rho(H)$ be the identity map, which is continuous then $u^{-1} = u \forall u \in \rho(H)$.

Definition 1.4 If $R: \rho(H) \times \rho(H) \rightarrow \rho(H)$ defined by $R(s_x, t_y) = s_x t_y$ for every $(s_x, t_y) \in \rho(H) \times \rho(H)$ is fuzzy continuous, then $n: H \times H \rightarrow H$ defined by $n(u, v) = uv$ is fuzzy continuous.

Definition 1.5 If $g: (H_1, \epsilon_1) \rightarrow (H_2, \epsilon_2)$ be a fuzzy continuous function between two fuzzy topological spaces (H_1, ϵ_1) and (H_2, ϵ_2) if and only if the induced function $R_f: (\rho(H_1), \tau_{\epsilon_1}) \rightarrow (\rho(H_2), \tau_{\epsilon_2})$ is continuous.

Definition 1.6 If $p_1, p_2 \in \rho(H)$ and $t_1 = \sup p_1, t_2 = \sup p_2$. Then, $\mu_{p_1 p_2}(t_1, t_2) \leq \min(\mu_{p_1}(t_1), \mu_{p_2}(t_2)) \leq \mu_{p_1'}(t_1)$ and $1 - \mu_{p_1 p_2}(t_1, t_2) \geq \max(1 - \mu_{p_1}(t_1), 1 - \mu_{p_2}(t_2)) \geq 1 - \mu_{p_1'}(t_1)$, where $p_1 p_2 = (\mu_{p_1 p_2}, 1 - \mu_{p_1 p_2})$ is a fuzzy singleton defined on $t_1 t_2$.

Proposition 1.1 Let $(r_1, r_2) \in \rho(I)$ i.e. $r_1 = (\mu_{r_1}, 1 - \mu_{r_1}) \in \rho(I), r_2 = (\mu_{r_2}, 1 - \mu_{r_2}) \in \rho(I)$ be fuzzy singleton defined on u_1, u_2 such that $r_1 \in \partial_{\beta_1|I}, r_2 \in \partial_{\beta_2|I}$, and I is a subgroup of H . Then, $R_I(\partial_{\beta_1|I} \times \partial_{\beta_2|I}) \subseteq \partial_{\beta|I}$.

2. New Results

Claim 2.1 For each $(s_u, t_v) \in \rho(H) \times \rho(H)$, if $R: \rho(H) \times \rho(H) \rightarrow \rho(H)$ defined by $R(s_u, t_v) = s_u t_v$ is continuous, then $n: H \times H \rightarrow H$ defined by $n(u, v) = uv$ is fuzzy continuous.

Proof. Assume that R is continuous. Let $r = (\mu_r, 1 - \mu_r)$ be a fuzzy point in $H \times H$ with support $\{(u, v)\}$ in order to demonstrate that n is a fuzzy continuous. Let $\mu_r(u, v) \in (0,1)$ and $1 - \mu_r(u, v) \in (0,1)$. Let H be a

fuzzy open set with $\alpha = (\mu_\alpha, 1 - \mu_\alpha)$ and $n(r) = (\mu_{n(r)}, 1 - \mu_{n(r)})$. The formula for $\mu_{n(r)}(x)$ is $\sup_{x_1 x_2 = x} (x_1, x_2)$.

Thus, $n(r)$ is a fuzzy point with value $r(u, v)$ specified on uv . Define fuzzy singletons $r_1 = (\mu_{r_1}, 1 - \mu_{r_1})$ and $r_2 = (\mu_{r_2}, 1 - \mu_{r_2})$ on u and v , respectively. $\mu_\alpha(uv)$ and $1 - \mu_\alpha(uv)$ is the fuzzy value of a fuzzy singleton $(\mu_{r_1}, 1 - \mu_{r_1})$. The fuzzy values of a fuzzy singleton $(\mu_{r_1}, 1 - \mu_{r_1})$ are 1 and 0.

Since, $r_1 r_2(uv) = (\mu_{r_1 r_2}(uv), 1 - \mu_{r_1 r_2}(uv)) = (\min(\mu_{r_1}(u), \mu_{r_2}(v)), \max(1 - \mu_{r_1}(u), 1 - \mu_{r_2}(v))) = (\mu_A(uv), 1 - \mu_A(uv))$ $r_1 r_2 \in \alpha$ and $r_1 r_2 \in \partial_\alpha$. There exist $\alpha_1 = (\mu_{\alpha_1}, 1 - \mu_{\alpha_1}) \in \tau_\delta$ and $\alpha_2 = (\mu_{\alpha_2}, 1 - \mu_{\alpha_2}) \in \tau_\delta$ such that $r_1 = \partial_{\alpha_1}, r_2 = \partial_{\alpha_2}$ and $\partial_{\alpha_1} \partial_{\alpha_2} \subseteq \partial_\alpha$ since R is continuous and $r_1 r_2 \in \partial_\alpha \in \tau_\delta$. We must demonstrate that $r \in \alpha_1 \times \alpha_2$, or $\mu_r \in \mu_{\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2}$. In this case, $\mu_r(uv) = \mu_{n(r)}(uv) < \mu_\alpha(uv) = \min(\mu_{r_1}(u), \mu_{r_2}(v)) \leq \min(\mu_{\alpha_1}(u), \mu_{\alpha_2}(v)) = \mu_{\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2}$

(u, v) so $r \in \alpha_1 \times \alpha_2$. We now demonstrate the idea that $n(\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2)$ is a subset of α . By definition, $\mu_{n(\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2)}(x) = \sup_{x_1 x_2 = x} \min(\mu_{\alpha_1}(x_1), \mu_{\alpha_2}(x_2)) = \mu_{\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2}(x_1, x_2)$.

Now, $\partial_{\alpha_1} \partial_{\alpha_2} \subseteq \partial_\alpha$ i.e. $\min(\mu_{\alpha_1}(u), \mu_{\alpha_2}(v)) = \mu_\alpha(uv)$ then as a result, $\mu_{(\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2)}(x_1, x_2) \leq \mu_\alpha(x_1, x_2) = \mu_\alpha(x), \forall u, v \in H$. Therefore, $\mu_{n(\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2)}(x) \leq \mu_\alpha(x)$. Therefore, n is fuzzy continuous according to the notion of fuzzy continuity.

Claim 2.2 If (H, ϵ) is a fuzzy topological space on group G , then (H, ϵ) is a strong fuzzy topological group if and only if $R_f: \rho(H) \times \rho(H)$ defined by $R_f(s_x, t_y) = s_x t_y^{-1}, \forall (s_x, t_y) \in \rho(H) \times \rho(H)$ is continuous.

Proof. Assume that the fuzzy topological group (H, ϵ) is strong. Let $t_y = \mu_{t_y}$ and $s_x = \mu_{s_x}$ be fuzzy singletons defined on x and y respectively. Let $g_1, g_2: \rho(H) \rightarrow \rho(H)$ be defined by $g_1(s_x) = s_x$ and $g_2(t_y) = t_y^{-1}$ respectively. It is evident that g_1 and g_2 are continuous. Thus, $g(s_x, t_y) = (s_x t_y^{-1})$ defines the function $g: \rho(H) \times \rho(H) \rightarrow \rho(H) \times \rho(H)$. $\forall (s_x, t_y) \in \rho(H) \times \rho(H)$ is continuous. Since $R_f(s_x, t_y) = s_x t_y^{-1} = R(g(s_x, t_y)) = (R.g)(s_x, t_y)$, R_f is continuous.

On the other hand, suppose that $R_f(s_x, t_y) = s_x t_y^{-1} \forall (s_x, t_y) \in \rho(H) \times \rho(H)$ is continuous. Naturally, $f(s_x) = s_x^{-1} = R_f(h(s_x)) = (R_f.g)(s_x)$ is continuous since the function $h: \rho(H) \rightarrow \rho(H) \times \rho(H)$ defined by $h(s_x) = (f_e, s_x)$ is continuous. $g_1: \rho(H) \rightarrow \rho(H)$ is $g_1(s_x) = s_x$, then $\tau: \rho(H) \times \rho(H) \rightarrow \rho(H) \times \rho(H)$ is continuous if $\tau(s_x, t_y) = (s_x, f)(s_x, t_y) = (s_x t_y^{-1})$.

Since $R(s_x, t_y) = R_f(\tau(s_x, t_y)) = R_f.\tau$, R is continuous, and (H, ϵ) is a strong fuzzy topological group.

Claim 2.3 Let I be a fuzzy topological subgroup of (H', ϵ) and let $\varphi: (H, \epsilon) \rightarrow (H', \epsilon)$ be a continuous fuzzy open homomorphism. If $\varphi(\beta) \in \rho$, then $\beta = (\mu_\beta, 1 - \mu_\beta) \in \theta$ and $\alpha = \beta | \varphi^{-1}(I)$, meaning that $\mu_\beta = \mu_\beta | \varphi^{-1}(I)$ and $1 - \mu_\beta = 1 - \mu_\beta | \varphi^{-1}(I)$. Furthermore, $R_{f_I}(\partial_{\beta_1} \times \partial_{\beta_2}) \subseteq \partial_{\varphi(\beta) | I}$ $\mu_{t_1' t_2'^{-1}} \leq \mu_{\varphi(\beta) | I}$, and $\mu_{\varphi(\beta) | I} - \mu_{t_1' t_2'^{-1}} \leq 0$. Next, $\mu_{t_1 t_2^{-1}}(v_1 v_2^{-1}) \leq \mu_{\varphi(\beta) | I}(v_1 v_2^{-1})$, $\mu_{\varphi(\beta) | I}(v_1 v_2^{-1}) - \mu_{t_1 t_2^{-1}}(v_1 v_2^{-1}) \leq 0$ and $\mu_\alpha(u_1 u_2^{-1}) \geq \mu_{s_1' s_2'^{-1}}(u_1 u_2^{-1})$, $\mu_\alpha(u_1 u_2^{-1}) - \mu_{s_1' s_2'^{-1}}(u_1 u_2^{-1}) \leq 0$.

Proof. Given that φ is a fuzzy homomorphism and I is a subgroup, $(\mu_{t_1 t_2^{-1}}(v_1 v_2^{-1})) = \min(\mu_{t_1}(v_1), \mu_{t_2^{-1}}(v_2^{-1})) \leq \min(\mu_{s_1}(u_1), \mu_{s_2^{-1}}(u_2^{-1})) = \mu_{s_1 s_2^{-1}}(u_1 u_2^{-1}) \leq \mu_\alpha(u_1 u_2^{-1}) = \mu_{\varphi^{-1}(\beta) | I}(u_1 u_2^{-1}) \leq \mu_{\varphi(\beta) | I}(v_1 v_2^{-1})$ and similarly $(1 - \mu_{t_1 t_2^{-1}}(v_1 v_2^{-1})) = \max(1 - \mu_{t_1}(v_1), \mu_{t_2^{-1}}(v_2^{-1})) \geq \max(1 - \mu_{s_1}(u_1), \mu_{s_2^{-1}}(u_2^{-1})) = 1 - \mu_{s_1 s_2^{-1}}(u_1 u_2^{-1}) \geq \mu_\alpha(u_1 u_2^{-1}) = 1 - \mu_{\varphi^{-1}(\beta) | I}(u_1 u_2^{-1}) \geq 1 - \mu_{\varphi(\beta) | I}(v_1 v_2^{-1})$, so the outcome is $\mu_\alpha(u_1 u_2^{-1}) - \mu_{s_1' s_2'^{-1}}(u_1 u_2^{-1}) \leq 0$. Once more, since $R_{f_I}(\partial_{\beta_1} \times \partial_{\beta_2}) \subseteq \partial_{\varphi(\beta) | I}$, $\mu_{t_1' t_2'^{-1}} \leq \mu_{\varphi(\beta) | I}$ and $\mu_{\varphi(\beta) | I} - \mu_{t_1' t_2'^{-1}} \geq 0$. Then $\mu_{s_1' s_2'^{-1}}(u_1 u_2^{-1}) = \mu_{t_1' t_2'^{-1}}(v_1 v_2^{-1}) \leq \mu_{\varphi(\beta) | I}(v_1 v_2^{-1}) = \mu_\beta(u_1 u_2^{-1})$ and $\mu_\alpha(u_1 u_2^{-1}) - \mu_{s_1' s_2'^{-1}}(u_1 u_2^{-1}) = 1 - \mu_{t_1' t_2'^{-1}}(v_1 v_2^{-1}) \geq 1 - \mu_{\varphi(\beta) | I}(v_1 v_2^{-1}) = 1 - \mu_\beta(\varphi(v_1) \varphi(v_2)^{-1}) = 1 - \mu_\beta(u_1 u_2^{-1})$. So, the outcome is $\mu_\alpha(u_1 u_2^{-1}) - \mu_{s_1' s_2'^{-1}}(u_1 u_2^{-1}) \geq 0$. Hence, the result.

Claim 2.4 If $\varphi: \rho(H_1 \times H_2) \times \rho(H_1 \times H_2) \rightarrow \rho(H_1 \times H_2)$ defined by $\varphi(r_u, s_v) = r_u s_v$, where r_u and s_v are fuzzy singletons defined on (u_1, u_2) and (v_1, v_2) respectively. Then, $\varphi(\partial_\beta \times \partial_\gamma) \subseteq \partial_\alpha$. Also, if $g: (H_1, \epsilon_1) \rightarrow (H_2, \epsilon_2)$ be fuzzy open, $g(\alpha_1), g(\alpha_2) \in \epsilon_2$ with $g(\alpha_1) | g(I) = g(\beta_1)$, $g(\alpha_2) | g(I) = g(\beta_2)$ and $s_1 \in \beta_1$. Then $\mu_{t_1}(v_1) \leq \mu_{g(\beta_1)}(v_1)$ and $\mu_{g(\beta_1)}(v_1) - \mu_{t_1}(v_1) \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon \in \partial_\beta$ and $\epsilon \in \partial_\gamma$ where ϵ and ϵ are fuzzy singleton defined on (x_1, x_2) and (y_1, y_2) respectively. Now, we have to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \in \partial_{\alpha} \cdot \mu_{\varepsilon \varepsilon}(x_1 *_1 y_1, x_2 *_2 y_2) &= \min(\mu_{\varepsilon}(x_1, x_2), \mu_{\varepsilon}(y_1, y_2)) \leq \min(\mu_{\beta}(x_1, x_2), \mu_{\gamma}(y_1, y_2)) = \min((\mu_{\beta_1} \times \\ &\mu_{\beta_2})(x_1 x_2), (\mu_{\gamma_1} \times \mu_{\gamma_2})(y_1, y_2)) = \min((\mu_{\beta_1} \times \mu_{\gamma_1})(x_1 y_1), (\mu_{\beta_2} \mu_{\gamma_2})(x_2, y_2)) \leq \\ &\min(\mu_{\alpha_1}(x_1 *_1 y_1), \mu_{\alpha_2}(x_2 *_2 y_2)) = (\mu_{\alpha_1} \times \mu_{\alpha_2})(x_1 *_1 y_1, x_2 *_2 y_2) \text{ and} \\ &1 - \mu_{\varepsilon \varepsilon}(x_1 *_1 y_1, x_2 *_2 y_2) = \max(1 - \mu_{\varepsilon}(x_1, x_2), 1 - \mu_{\varepsilon}(y_1, y_2)) \geq \max(1 - \mu_{\beta}(x_1, x_2), 1 - \mu_{\gamma}(y_1, y_2)) = \\ &\max(((1 - \mu_{\beta_1}) \times (1 - \mu_{\beta_2}))(x_1 x_2), ((1 - \mu_{\gamma_1}) \times (1 - \mu_{\gamma_2}))(y_1, y_2)) = \max(((1 - \mu_{\beta_1}) \times (1 - \\ &\mu_{\gamma_1}))(x_1 y_1), ((1 - \mu_{\beta_2}) \times (1 - \mu_{\gamma_2}))(x_2, y_2)) \geq \max((1 - \mu_{\alpha_1})(x_1 *_1 y_1), (1 - \mu_{\alpha_2})(x_2 *_2 y_2)) = \\ &((1 - \mu_{\alpha_1}) \times (1 - \mu_{\alpha_2}))(x_1 *_1 y_1, x_2 *_2 y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\varepsilon \in \partial_{\beta_1 \times \beta_2} \subseteq \partial_{\alpha}$ and on the other hand $s_1 \in \beta_1$, $\mu_{s_1} \leq \mu_{\beta_1} = \mu_{\alpha|I}$ and $1 - \mu_{s_1} \leq 1 - \mu_{\beta_1} = 1 - \mu_{\alpha|I}$. Now, $\mu_{t_1}(v_1) = \mu_{s_1}(u_1) \leq \mu_{\beta_1}(u_1) = \mu_{g(\beta_1)}(v_1)$ and $1 - \mu_{t_1}(v_1) = 1 - \mu_{s_1}(u_1) \geq 1 - \mu_{\beta_1}(u_1)$. Thus, $\mu_{g(\beta_1)}(v_1) - \mu_{t_1}(v_1) \geq 0$. Ofcourse, $(t_1, t_2) \in \partial_{g(\beta_1)} \times \partial_{g(\beta_2)}$ and hence, the result.

Final remarks

This study examines the features of continuous functions in simple fuzzy topological groups and validates previous claims about them. This idea can be expanded in the future to include Pythagorean fuzzy sets, rough fuzzy sets, and intuitionistic fuzzy settings. As a result, this provides a fresh perspective on fuzzy topological algebraic structures.

References

- [1]. Al-shami, T. M., Ibrahim, H. Z., Arar, M. & Hosny, M. (2025). Complex nth power root fuzzy sets: Theory, and applications for multi-attribute decision making in uncertain environments. PLOS One, 20(5) e0319757. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0319757>
- [2]. Al-shami, T. M. (2023). (2, 1)-Fuzzy sets: properties, weighted aggregated operators and their applications to multi-criteria decision-making methods. Complex & intelligent systems, 9(2), 1687-1705. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40747022-00878-4>
- [3]. Al-shami, T. M., & Mhemdi, A. (2023). Generalized frame for orthopair fuzzy sets: (m, n)-Fuzzy sets and their applications to multi-criteria decision-making methods. Information (switzerland), 14(1), 56. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info14010056>
- [4]. Al-shami, T. M., Alcantud, J. C. R., & Mhemdi, A. (2023). New generalization of fuzzy soft sets:(a, b)-Fuzzy soft sets. Aims math, 8(2), 2995-3025. <https://www.aimspress.com/aimspress-data/math/2023/2/PDF/math-08-02-155.pdf>
- [5]. Atanassov, K. (1986). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Fuzzy sets and systems, 20(1), 87–96. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S01650114\(86\)80034-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S01650114(86)80034-3)
- [6]. Kabir S., & Papadopoulos, Y. (2018). A review of applications of fuzzy sets to safety and reliability engineering. International journal of approximate reasoning, 100, 29–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijar.2018.05.005>
- [7]. Mardani, A., Hooker, R. E., Ozkul, S., Yifan, S., Nilashi, M., Sabzi, H. Z., & Fei, G. C. (2019). Application of decision making and fuzzy sets theory to evaluate the healthcare and medical problems: a review of three decades of research with recent developments. Expert systems with applications, 137, 202-231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2019.07.002>
- [8]. Yager, R. R. (2013). Pythagorean fuzzy subsets. 2013 joint IFSA world congress and NAFIPS annual meeting (IFSA/NAFIPS) (pp. 57-61). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IFSA-NAFIPS.2013.6608375>
- [9]. Zimmermann, H. J. (2011). Fuzzy set theory—and its applications. Springer Science & Business Media. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0646-0>.
- [10]. Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. Information and control, 8(3), 338-353. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(65\)90241-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X)